











Results

Question 5: What remaining questions do you have about improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop Structure; and do you have any concerns?

- Concerned about long-term maintenance
- Details, things like longitudinal profile, grade control
- Energy dissipation and bank stability if dam is removed
- City of Monroe water availability.
- Need to have a video or animation of what the channel could look like
- Need more focus on recreation
- What happens at the upstream structures? What is the plan?
- How far can fish migrate upstream to the next barrier?
- What could be the potential adverse impacts to agriculture?

Results

Question 6: What information would you find the most useful in order to support any specific alternative to improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop Structure? (what the river would look like, river levels throughout a typical year, how much it would cost etc)

- Cost (several respondents mentioned)
- All the items mentioned in the question (several respondents mentioned)
- What is the over-arching vision for the Long Tom River?

Results

Question 7: What level of support from you may we cite to help obtain another grant to allow the Council to continue working toward a positive and productive solution for fish passage?

- Very supportive (half of respondents)
- Willing to write/sign letter of support
- Willing to talk to other landowners
- Not supportive (one respondent)

Results

Question 8: Do you own property on the Long Tom River that can be impacted by Corps maintenance actions downstream from Fern Ridge?

- 11 Respondents replied "No"
- 10 Respondents replied "Yes"